City of Santa Fe

Land Use Department
200 Lincoln Ave.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-0909

PROJECT DESC: 2026-012512-HDRB. 616 Acequia Madre. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Significant. Barbara Felix, agent for Jusbasche Investments LTD owner, requests a historic downgrade for main residence and status review with primary facade(s) designation for garage/guest house, if applicable.

CASE NUMBER: 2026-012512--HDRB

PROJECT TYPE: Historic Status Review

LOCATION: 616 ACEQUIA MADRE
Santa Fe, NM 87505

CONTACTS:

Applicant	BARBARA FELIX	1114 Hickox St., Suite A Santa Fe, NM 87505
Property Owner	Jusbasche Investments LTD	

BOARD ACTION

This is to certify that the Historic Districts Review Board (HDRB) acted on your request at their hearing on Jun 09, 2026. The decision of the Board was to retained the main residence as significant, with all façades as primary; designated the guesthouse as significant, with all façades as primary, excluding the shed enclosure façade nos. 7, 8, and 9 on the diagram, which are no longer present; and directed that the city determine any consequences for the demolition of the shed; and that staff provide recommendations, in writing, to the Board regarding whether the property should be considered a historic compound, which would require designating a status to the horse barn.

For further information please call 505-955-6605.

Sincerely,

ARomero

Amanda Romero

NOTE: Applicant can use this action letter to apply for construction permit, but the permit shall not be released until the end of the appeal period which starts on the date of filing of the Findings and Conclusions in the City Clerk's office (SFCC 14-3.17(D)). Your permit will be denied if any changes on plans that were not approved by the HDRB or if conditions of approval are not met. **Please attach copies of this letter to all sets when submitting for construction permits.**

Regular Meeting of the Historic Districts Review Board
June 9, 2026, at 5:30 PM
Council Chambers, City Hall
200 Lincoln Avenue
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Meeting Minutes
View meeting on City YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WMok3LylLaU

Call to Order
Chair Rios called the regular meeting of the Historic Districts Review Board to order at 5:36 p.m. in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

1. **Roll Call**
Roll call indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

Members Present
Ms. Cecilia Rios, Chair
Mr. John Bienvenu, Vice Chair
Ms. Jennifer Biedscheid
Ms. Mary Ellen Degnan
Mr. Joe Simmons

Members Absent
Mr. Scott Cherry

Others Present
Ms. Maggie Moore, Assistant Planning and Land Use Director
Ms. Natalie Cauley, Assistant City Attorney
Ms. Lani McCulley, Senior Planner
Ms. Amanda Romero, Senior Planner
Mr. Dan Esquibel, Land Use Planner Senior

Note: All items in the Board packet for all agenda items are incorporated herewith by reference. The original Board packet is available on Civic Clerk and can be requested from the Historic Preservation Division.

2. **Approval of Agenda**
Ms. Moore advised that the following cases had been postponed: 2026-012513-HDRB at 1226 Cerro Gordo Unit A, 2026-012285-HDRB at 619 West Alameda Street, 2026-012487-HDRB at 998 Acequia Madre, 2026-012488-HDRB at 925 Canyon Road, 2026-

012490-HDRB at 507 Paseo de Peralta, and 2026-012491-HDRB and 511 Paseo de Peralta.

As many cases were postponed due to lack of, or improperly placed, story poles, Ms. Moore noted that story poles must be placed on all essential corners of freestanding buildings to indicate roof height, and failure to place them will result in postponement. Chair Rios noted the importance of applicants working with staff to ensure the process is performed correctly.

Member Degnan moved to approve the agenda as amended. Member Simmons seconded. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote (4-0).

3. **Approval of Minutes**

There were no minutes to approve.

4. **Approval of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law**

a. 2025-10839-HDRB. 433 Calle de la Paz (August 12, 2025)
b. 2025-10840-HDRB. 815 East Alameda Street, Unit 8 (August 12, 2025)
c. 2025-10872-HDRB. 836 Don Cubero Avenue (August 12, 2025)
d. 2025-10874-HDRB. 423 1/2 West San Francisco Street, Unit A (August 12, 2025)
e. 2025-10871-HDRB. 439 Camino del Monte Sol (August 26, 2025)
f. 2025-10948-HDRB. 800 Gildersleeve Street (August 26, 2025)

Member Biedscheid moved to approve the six sets of findings and conclusions, as submitted. Member Bienvenu seconded. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote (4-0).

5. **Matters from the Public**

Todd Anthony, 300 block of Garcia Street, Santa Fe, expressed concern regarding the house at 334 Garcia Street, known as “the pink house.” The owners recently had it painted dark brown with white trim. He believes the city approved restuccoing but not the color change. The work continued even after a red tag was issued. He requested that a mandate be issued for the owners to restore the house to its previous color.

Raymond Herrera, 379 Hillside Avenue, Santa Fe, also expressed opposition to the repainting of the pink house. He stated that he grew up in the neighborhood, and the house was an important landmark. He agreed with Mr. Anthony’s recommendation.

John Pen La Farge, 647 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, noted that the pink house had been the only example of adobe Art Nouveau architecture that he knew of, and the color was part of that.

Jennifer Berkley, 126 Martinez Street, Santa Fe, expressed agreement with the previous commenters and read a report about the pink house written 30 years prior, describing the importance of the building.

Stefanie Beninato, P.O. Box 1601, Santa Fe, agreed in teleconference with the previous commenters regarding the pink house and noted other houses of concern: one on East Houghton with two large solar panels and one on the West Houghton alley with a mini-split visible from the street.

6. **Staff Communications**

a. **Wildland-Urban Interface Educational Presentation by Ryan Handy:** Ryan Handy, Headwaters Economics, speaking via teleconference, shared a presentation called Living with Wildfire: Risk, Resilience, and Our Economic Future. Susan Riggs, Headwaters Economics, speaking via teleconference, shared strategies to balance historic preservation and wildfire mitigation. While some features common to historical homes, such as adobe, wall thickness, and fewer openings, promote wildfire resilience, others present vulnerabilities: flat roofs that are not Class A, exposed wood, wooden windows and doors, vegetation close to buildings, and wooden fencing close to buildings.

7. **Old Business**

There was no old business.

8. **New Business**

a. **2026-012284-HDRB, 1062 Camino San Acacio, Downtown & Eastside Historic District, Contributing.** Gabriel Browne, agent/owner, proposes to replace windows and doors and install exterior insulation on the contributing structure; to construct a 3,440-square-foot freestanding residence to a height of 20 feet, nine inches, where the maximum allowable is 16 feet, nine inches; to construct a 733-square-foot guesthouse with a pitched roof; and to construct a six-foot-high fence and a four-foot-high yard wall. Additional height is requested due to slope, per Section 14-4.6(F)(2)(II)(f). Exceptions are requested to Section 14-4.6(E)(4)(II) for placing a new architectural finish on a primary façade and for using material not in keeping with the district.

Chair Rios noted that applicants are free to dispute the Board's ruling before the City Council, with the assistance of staff, and that public comment would be limited to two minutes.

Ms. McCulley presented the case and staff recommendation. Staff found that the exception criteria to Section 14-4.6 (E)(4)(II)(a) have not been met and recommended denial of the request for placing exterior insulation on the primary façade of a contributing structure. The staff found that the exception criteria to Section 14-4.6(E)(4)(II)(b) have not

been met and recommended denial for the use of metal for details on the portal, roof overhangs, and canales. The staff recommended approval of the other elements of the application, as they comply with Sections 14-4.6(E) General Design Standards for all Historic Districts and 14-4.6(G)(2) Downtown and Eastside Historic District Design Standards.

Chair Rios inquired regarding the ordinance on pitched roofs in the area. Ms. McCulley responded that, per code, pitched roofs are only permitted if more than 50% of roofs in the applicable streetscape are pitched. Currently, exactly 50% of roofs in the streetscape in question are pitched. Staff did not request an exception, believing 50% was the correct guideline. Chair Rios noted that not many homes in the neighborhood had pitched roofs. Ms. McCulley responded that some of the roofs may have changed since the 1990s.

Member Bienvenu noted that three buildings are being described and that the requests are complicated. He noted that the proposed insulation would add several inches to the exterior, and colors that are not on the approved list are proposed. Ms. McCulley noted that the sand finish is acceptable, and synthetic stucco is acceptable on new construction. Rustic taupe would require an exception due to both the color and texture.

Member Bienvenu noted that the pitched roof of the accessory dwelling unit (ADU) must match the predominant pitch in the streetscape, if it is allowed. He inquired regarding the radius of the corners and the depths of the door and window reveals. Ms. McCulley responded that she believes they are two inches or less.

Chair Rios requested that Member Simmons explain the disadvantages of exterior insulation. Member Simmons responded that there are many ways to insulate the exterior of a building. Spray foam would prevent the walls from breathing; however, Rockwool insulation is more permeable.

Gabriel Browne, the applicant, 7604 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, was sworn in. He noted that, as an architect, he was educated in the regional modernism style and wished to create connections to both the historic and current periods. He intends to create a family compound and noted that the casita needs to be at street level to accommodate his mother, who has mobility issues. He has discussed the design with his neighbors, and they have provided letters of support. He requested exterior insulation on the shed because insulating the inside would significantly reduce the available space. He noted that steel accents are found in the historic district and last longer than wood details. He noted that one of the neighborhood roofs is indicated as flat on the site map but is actually pitched; therefore, over 50% of roofs in the area are pitched. He noted that all of the windows on the ADU will be divided light.
Chair Rios inquired how many pitched roofs one would see when standing in front of the property and whether the applicant planned to round the corners of the two homes. Mr. Browne responded that the code does not specify that the pitched roofs in the

neighborhood must be visible from his home and that he prefers square corners but is open to the Board's direction.

Member Simmons noted that the three buildings in question are very different and that the two proposed new buildings should be in conversation with the existing contributing structure. Mr. Browne responded that the two proposed new buildings are in recent Santa Fe style. Member Simmons noted that two windows near the corner would look odd when viewing the home from an angle and that the ADU appears to be turning its back to the street. Mr. Browne responded that the street is dusty and noisy, and the form and shape of the ADU are intended to protect the courtyard. Additional windows can be added.

Member Simmons noted that he has no issue with the steel portal columns and requested that the applicant show perspective drawings. Mr. Browne did so.

Member Bienvenu inquired regarding a structure in front of the ADU and noted that steel, aside from roofs, is not traditional to the historic district. Mr. Browne responded that the structure is a trash enclosure.

Member Biedscheid noted that it would not be possible to address pitch without a noticed exception. She noted that the angle of the house facing the road is not traditional and inquired whether the applicants had considered setting the structure farther into the ground to avoid the height exception. She noted that stucco on a contributing building must be cementitious and expressed concern regarding the aluminum windows and combination of divided light and non-divided light.

Public Comment:
Elizabeth West, 318 Sena Street, Santa Fe, was sworn in. She noted that a wall on the north elevation appears to overlap with the windows and that the supports appear spindly.

Ms. Beninato, P.O. Box 1601, Santa Fe, was sworn in. Speaking via teleconference, she noted that the design is not compatible with the streetscape and that the windows appear modern.

Member Biedscheid inquired whether the applicant was willing to consider a redesign based on the concerns presented. Mr. Browne responded that he was; however, he does not feel he was given specific direction.

Chair Rios noted that it was unclear whether there were sufficient pitched roofs in the area to allow the applicant to build one, and that the proposal had too many modern elements as well as three different architectural styles.

Member Bienvenu suggested that one motion be made for the shed and another for the remainder of the application. He encouraged the applicant to view the video recording of the meeting and review the Board's comments.

Board Action:
Member Bienvenu moved in case 2026-012284-HDRB at 1062 Camino San Acacio, with respect to aspects of the application relating to the contributing shed and garage, to adopt staff's findings and recommendations that the exception criteria regarding the exterior insulation have not been met, and to otherwise approve the project with the conditions that the existing stucco and framing color and texture be matched as closely as possible, and that the stucco be cementitious. Member Degnan seconded. The motion passed with the Board voting unanimously (4-0).

Member Bienvenu moved in case 2026-012284-HDRB at 1062 Camino San Acacio, with respect to the remainder of the application, specifically the construction of an ADU, fence, garbage enclosure, and primary residence, to postpone its consideration to a date to be determined by staff and the applicant and allow the applicant an opportunity for a redesign, taking into account the concerns expressed by the Board regarding the proposed design; and that staff determine whether an exception would be necessary for a pitched roof, and if so, that the applicant be consulted as to whether he will pursue an exception or redesign the roof as non-gabled. Member Biedscheid seconded. The motion passed with the Board voting unanimously (4-0).

b. **2026-012512-HDRB, 616 Acequia Madre, Downtown & Eastside Historic District, Significant.** Barbara Felix, agent for Jusbasche Investments LTD, owner, requests a historic downgrade for the main residence and status review with primary façade(s) designation for the garage/guesthouse, if applicable.

Ms. Romero presented the case and staff recommendation. Staff recommended, per Section 14-4.6(C) Designation of Significant, Contributing or Noncontributing Status Within Historic Districts, that the historic status of the main residence structure be maintained as significant with all façades as primary, and that the guesthouse be designated as significant, with all façades designated primary, excluding the addition of the shed enclosure, or façades 7, 8, and 9 on the façade diagram.

Ms. Romero added that façades 7, 8, and 9 of the guesthouse are now gone. There is insufficient information to recommend a status for the horse barn and the yard walls; further study will be required.

Barbara Felix, the applicant, 1828 Cristobal Lane, Santa Fe, was sworn in. She discussed the history of the property, noting that the house has changed over time to meet the needs of its era and the families who lived there. The owners intend to maintain the house as a residence, with a guesthouse and garage. The shed enclosure was removed because it presented safety concerns; she is unsure when this was done. She requested that the home be downgraded to contributing, to allow the owners to make practical changes to portions that are not publicly visible. She requested that the garage and guesthouse be

designated as contributing, and that north façade nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 be designated as primary. She requested that the main house be downgraded to contributing status so that the sauna and changing rooms façade nos. 14, 15, and 16 can be removed.

Chair Rios inquired regarding the square footage of the main house. Ms. Felix responded that the main house, not including the front porch, is 5,490 square feet, and the guesthouse is 1,481 square feet. The former horse barn is 40 square feet. Chair Rios requested that staff read the code definitions of contributing and significant. Ms. Moore did so.

Members Degnan and Bienvenu requested the reason for the downgrade request. It was noted that the Board must not base its decision on what the applicant wishes to do with the property in the future. Ms. Felix noted that the home is in good condition but requires repairs, such as stucco removal and possibly brick restoration. The owner would like flexibility to perform repairs and make some changes to the south façade, which is not visible to the street.

Member Bienvenu noted that insufficient data were presented to justify a downgrade in status.

Public Comment:
Carrie Marsh, 533 East Palace Avenue, Santa Fe; Larry Good, 721 Garcia Street, Santa Fe; Diana Stege, 434 San Pascual, Santa Fe; Scott Tobey, 540 Canyon Road, Santa Fe; Cornelia Tobey, 540 Canyon Road, Santa Fe; Jane Farrar, 401 Delgado Lane, Santa Fe; Randall Bell, 2991 Viaje Pavo Real, Santa Fe; Raymond Herrera, 379 Hillside Avenue, Santa Fe; Katherine Rivera, P.O. Box 363, Santa Fe; and John Pen La Farge, 647 Old Santa Fe Trail, Santa Fe, were sworn in.

Ms. Marsh noted that no good reason for a downgrade had been presented and that older houses can still be functional.

Mr. Good expressed agreement with the applicant regarding the need for historic properties to adapt. He noted that the status was unlikely to change but suggested that the Board be open to requests from the agent and owners.

Ms. Stege expressed opposition to the downgrade request, noting that the three women who once lived in the house made it significant. She noted that some trees were removed without permission.

Mr. Tobey noted that he was able to work with the Board to renovate his family's significant house and that the idea that a significant status does not allow for repairs is a misconception.

Ms. Tobey expressed opposition to the downgrade request, noting the importance of Santa Fe history.

Ms. Farrar agreed with the staff recommendation.

Mr. Bell noted that the property is historically and architecturally important, and that no evidence was presented to justify a downgrade.

Mr. Herrera expressed opposition to a downgrade, noting the importance of the property to his family's history.

Ms. Rivera expressed opposition to a downgrade, citing the history of the people who once lived there. She noted that a precedent should not be set for downgrading significant structures because they require repairs.

Mr. La Farge noted that the house should not be downgraded and expressed agreement with Mr. Good regarding the need for a home to change with its use.

Ms. Beninato, previously sworn in, expressed opposition, via teleconference, to a downgrade, noting that it had been a living museum.

Jordan Young, 652 Galisteo Street, was sworn in. Speaking via teleconference, she noted that the home fits the definition of significant; however, flaws in the code and lack of professional expertise in the land use department have had severe consequences. She noted that there had been plans for the house to become a self-sustaining museum, but many neighbors worked against them.

Ms. Felix clarified that the trees in question were removed by the Public Service Company of New Mexico.

Chair Rios noted that changes may not be made to the house without the Board's permission.

Member Bienvenu noted that the Board often approves alterations on primary façades, provided exception criteria are met, as people must be able to live in historic buildings.

Board Action:
Member Bienvenu moved in case 2026-012512-HDRB at 616 Acequia Madre to adopt staff's recommendations that the historic status of the main structure be maintained as significant, with all façades as primary; that the guesthouse be designated as significant, with all façades as primary, excluding the addition of the shed enclosure façade nos. 7, 8, and 9 on the diagram, which are no longer present; that the city determine any consequences for the demolition of the shed; and that staff provide recommendations, in writing, to the Board regarding whether the property should be considered a historic

compound, which would require designating a status to the horse barn. Member Degnan seconded. The motion passed with the Board voting unanimously (4-0).

c. **2026-012513-HDRB, 1226 Cerro Gordo Unit A, Downtown & Eastside Historic District, Non-contributing.** Christopher Purvis, agent for Jeremy Martin, owner, proposes to replace windows and doors, enclose the carport, construct a 400-square-foot portal and exterior stairs, install exterior insulation and an HVAC, and stucco.

This case was postponed.

d. **2026-012514-HDRB, 669 Garcia Street, Downtown & Eastside Historic District, Non-contributing.** Jeffrey Seres, agent for Christine Teneyck & Gary Deaver, LLC, owners, proposes to construct a four-foot-two-inch yard wall and pedestrian and vehicular gates, and demolish a portion of a stone retaining wall.

Ms. Romero presented the case and staff recommendation. Staff recommended approval of the proposed project and found that the application complies with Sections 14-4.6(E) General Design Standards for all Historic Districts and 14-4.6(G)(2) Downtown and Eastside Design Standards.

Jeffrey Seres, the applicant, 122 Lorenzo Road, Santa Fe, was sworn in and noted his availability to answer questions.

Public Comment:
Mr. Good, previously sworn in, identified himself as an architect living in the neighborhood of the subject site and expressed approval of the design.

Ms. West, previously sworn in, expressed approval of the design.

Ms. Beninato, previously sworn in, expressed appreciation to the applicants via teleconference and inquired regarding the height of the gates. Ms. Romero responded that the vehicular gates are six feet high, and the pedestrian gates are four feet high.

Board Action:
Member Degnan moved in case 2026-012514-HDRB at 669 Garcia Street to adopt staff's recommendations and approve the project as submitted. Member Simmons seconded. The motion passed with the Board voting unanimously (4-0).

e. **2026-012285-HDRB, 619 West Alameda Street, Westside-Guadalupe Historic District, Contributing.** Dura Build Construction, agent for Max Scott, owner, proposes to construct a 230-square-foot addition to a height of 11 feet, six inches, and a freestanding 763-square-foot casita to a height of 11 feet, one inch, where the maximum allowable height is 14 feet, 10 inches.

This case was postponed.

f. **2026-012487-HDRB, 998 Acequia Madre, Downtown & Eastside Historic District, Non-contributing.** Mark Brown, agent for Lisa Ronningen-Brown, owner, proposes to construct a 400-square-foot freestanding carport to a height of nine feet, seven inches.

This case was postponed.

g. **2026-012488-HDRB, 925 Canyon Road, Downtown & Eastside Historic District, Non-contributing.** Grant Alexander, agent for Lithe LLC, owners, proposes to alter the roofline; remove the 357-square-foot portal; construct 475 square feet of additions to a height of 19 feet, nine inches, where the maximum allowable height is 18 feet, one inch on a sloping site; replace windows and doors; and construct a 2,506-square-foot freestanding residence to a height of 18 feet, six-foot-high yard walls and gates, and exterior stairs.

This case was postponed.

h. **2026-012489-HDRB, 1103 Canyon Road, Downtown & Eastside Historic District, Contributing.** Tom Gifford Architect LLC, agent for Ian Kovach and Laurie GypHills, LLC, owners, proposes to replace windows and doors; install exterior insulation; stucco; reroof; replace portal, carport, and deck wood elements; and repair yard walls. Exceptions are requested to Sections 14-4.6(E)(4)(II) for placing exterior insulation on a primary façade and 14-4.6(E)(4)(I)(a) for replacing windows on a primary façade.

Ms. McCulley presented the case and staff recommendation. Staff found that the exception criteria to Section 14-4.6(E)(4)(II) have not been met and recommended denial of placing exterior insulation on a primary façade. Staff found that the exception criteria to Section 14-4.6(E)(4)(I)(a) have been met and recommended approval for replacing windows on a primary façade. Otherwise, staff recommended approval of the other elements of the application, as they comply with Sections 14-5.2(D) General Design Standards for all Historic Districts, and 14-4.6(G)(2) Downtown and Eastside Historic District Design Standards.

Chair Rios expressed appreciation that the house is receiving long-needed attention and inquired regarding the portion of the wall that is being increased. Ms. McCulley indicated the area on the slide and responded that it would be increased by approximately one foot. Ms. Rios requested the reason for the extra height, as she is tall and was able to pass through the gate easily.

Tom Gifford, 1709 Paseo de Peralta, Santa Fe, was sworn in. He noted that the gate would be six feet, eight inches, as required by fire code. He often strikes his head on the beam when walking through.

Member Simmons noted that the fire code does not specify gate height; however, the building code specifies six feet, eight inches. He suggested that the applicant work with the building department if the height becomes an issue. He agreed with staff regarding the insulation and recommended the applicant research a product called AeroBarrier. Mr. Gifford responded that he is familiar with AeroBarrier and Rockwall; however, adobe needs to be insulated from the outside. Member Simmons noted that spray foam creates a moisture barrier that will deteriorate an adobe wall.

Member Biedscheid inquired whether the proposed blue matched the existing color and inquired regarding two windows on the north façade that resemble a door with a divider. Mr. Gifford responded that the proposed blue is as close to the existing color as he could find; further, the existing color has faded over time. The window in question, which is on a non-contributing façade, lights a stairwell. The divider is a wooden beam.

Public Comment:
Ms. Beninato, previously sworn in, noted via teleconference that she once insulated a roof on a building built in the late 1890s, which served to conserve energy without the need for insulation on the walls.

Board Action:
Member Simmons moved in case 2026-012489-HDRB at 1103 Canyon Road to adopt staff's recommendations to deny the application of spray foam, with the caveat that the matter may be entertained at a later date if the applicant returns with evidence of air permeability; to deny the increase in gate and parapet height, unless the fire department and building department indicate that it should be raised; and to approve all other items in the application, per staff recommendation. Member Degnan seconded. The motion passed with the Board voting unanimously (4-0).

i. **2026-012490-HDRB, 507 Paseo de Peralta, Downtown & Eastside Historic District.** Marisol Ortiz, agent for Peralta's Walk LLC, owners, proposes to construct a 4,235-square-foot multi-family residence to a height of 24 feet where the maximum allowable height is 25 feet on a vacant lot.

This case was postponed.

j. **2026-012491-HDRB, 511 Paseo de Peralta, Downtown & Eastside Historic District.** Marisol Ortiz, agent for Peralta's Walk LLC, owners, proposes to construct a 6,212-square-foot multi-family residence to a height of 27 feet, six inches, where the maximum allowable height is 23 feet on a sloping vacant lot.

This case was postponed.

9. **Discussion Items**

Chair Rios recognized Natalie Cauley as a new Assistant City Attorney.

10. **Matters from the Board**

Member Simmons requested that staff ensure that the applicant for case 2026-012284-HDRB at 1062 Camino San Acacio provide a complete set of well-organized drawings. A floor plan of the walk-out basement may help the applicant demonstrate the height exception. The corner window detail also requires attention. He noted that the perspective views were helpful.

Member Bienvenu requested that staff provide information regarding the former pink house at 334 Garcia Street. Ms. Moore noted that the property was issued a red tag on April 7, 2026, for unauthorized work, and the owners applied for it to be restuccoed. Gary Moquino, Historic Preservation Division Planner Manager, administratively approved the restucco, as submitted, with the adobe color. Member Bienvenu inquired regarding the building's status and whether the color had contributed to the status. Ms. Moore responded that she believes the structure is contributing and that the color and finish were indicated as characteristic. Ms. Romero noted that she and Heather Lamboy, Planning and Land Use Director, met with the contractors, and they were instructed to restore the color to pink. She is unsure whether Mr. Moquino or Ms. Lamboy had any further correspondence with them. She believes there was an error at some point. Mr. Moquino will provide an update at the next meeting.

Member Biedscheid cautioned the Board against showing preference to in-person commenters over those attending via Zoom, such as by allowing some commenters to exceed their time limits. Chair Rios noted that she typically allows speakers to finish a thought if they are in the middle of it; however, she agreed to ensure all public commenters are held to a two-minute limit.

11. **Next Meeting**

The next meeting date is June 23, 2026.

12. **Adjournment**

Member Simmons moved to adjourn. Member Biedscheid seconded. The vote passed unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 9:35 p.m.

Minutes prepared by Lori Goshert of Minutes Solutions Inc.

_____ _____

Cecilia Rios, Historic Districts Review Board Chair Date